

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/833,029	04/10/2001	Jason B. Elledge	500188.03	1338		
27076	27076 7590 10/24/2003			EXAMINER		
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SUITE 3400 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101			OJINI, EZIAMARA ANTHONY			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3723			
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/)6
•	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	- -
Office Action Summary		,029	ELLEDGE, JASON B.	
		ner	Art Unit	
		y Ojini	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on t	the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thin - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for r - Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. by (30) days, a reply within the s n statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the a hs after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be t statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication (s) filed on <u>10 April 200</u>	<u>1</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b) This action	is non-final.		
Since this application is in condiction closed in accordance with the properties of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 46-64</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) i	s/are withdrawn from o	consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50,52,55,57,61 and 64</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>46-49,51,53,54,56,58-66</u>	0,62 and 63 is/are obje	ected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or election	n requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by	_			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a		•		
Applicant may not request that any	-	· ·		
11) The proposed drawing correction f			Toved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are		Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	• • •	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None o				
1. Certified copies of the prior	•			
2. Certified copies of the prior	-			
 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Int * See the attached detailed Office at 	ernational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clair	m for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) The translation of the foreign 15) Acknowledgment is made of a clai		= =		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/833,029

Art Unit: 3723

1

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's cancellation of claims 2-45 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1,50,52,55,57,61,64 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,9,10,14,17,25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,213,845 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application fails to disclose the specific limitation "the optical pass-through system comprises a first elongated slot through the planarizing medium that extends along the length of the planarizing medium in the direction generally parallel to the pad travel path, the slot dividing the planarizing medium into a first section and a second section" of U.S. Patent No. 6,213,845 B1.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 46-49,51,53,54,56,58-60,62,63 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claims 1,52,55,57, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bajaj et al., Tolles. Swedek et al., Ihsikawa et al. Agarwal disclose for in-situ optical endpointing on polishing pad respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Ojini whose telephone number is 703 305 3768. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 to 5.00 Tuesday-Friday with every other Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703 308 2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1148. John

AO

October 22,2003